1803

## LABOUR DEPARTMENT Orders

## The 28th September, 1983

No. ID/FD/109-83/52268. Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Shouki Lal and the management of M/s Vijay Grinding Industries 16/6, Mathura Road, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby referes to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted, vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245 dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3 Lab-68/15254 dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Shouki Lal was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/109-83/52275. —Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Shankar Partap Singh and the management of M/s Vijay Grinding Industries 16/6, Mathura Road, Faridabad regarding the matter hearinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab-57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Shankar Partap Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/109-83/52296.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ram Kishan and the management of M/s Vijay Grinding Industries 16/6 Mathura Road. Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;
Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ram Kishan was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

## The 11th October, 1983

No. ID/FD/78-83/55069.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Gopal and the management of (i) M/s Ram Lagan Contractor C/o M/s Vardhman Spinning and General Mills Ltd., Rolling Mill Division, Plot No. 264, Sector-24, Faridabad. (ii) M/s Vardhaman Spinning and General Mills Ltd, Rolling Mills Division Plot 264/24 Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication.

Whether the termination of service of Sari Gopal was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?